

REMARKS

The present Amendment and Request for Continued Examination filed herewith are in response to the final Office Action mailed January 20, 2010, the Examiner's Advisory Action mailed April 29, 2010, and the Interview of June 24, 2010, between the Examiner, the Examiner's Supervisor and the undersigned. A petition for a three-month extension of the term for response to said Office Action, up to and including July 20, 2010, is transmitted herewith.

With the above amendments, claims 4-7, 9-11, and 17-21 remain pending in the application, of which independent claims 18, 19 and 20, and dependent claim 21 are newly presented. The above amendments are supported by, for example, paragraphs [0028]-[0033], [0048]-[0050], and [0054] as well as FIG. 2A and FIG. 2B of the specification. No new matter is presented by these amendments.

In the Office Action, the Examiner objected that the term "a digital copy" as recited in the previous independent claims 1 and 8 is not found in the specification and therefore its meaning cannot be ascertained. As claims 1 and 8 have been canceled, Applicant respectfully submits that this objection is now moot and should be withdrawn.

In addition, the Examiner identified and objected to certain informalities with respect to the language recited in previous claims 1, 4, and 8. In view of the above amendments, Applicant respectfully submits that the formal objections are either moot or fully overcome and should be withdrawn. Furthermore, while not specifically objected to, Applicant has also amended claims 5-6 by removing dashes in order to improve their form.

All of the previously pending claims were rejected in the Office Action under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 7,451,392 to Chalecki et. al. ("*Chalecki*") in view of US Patent No. 7,299,408 to Daconta et.al. ("*Daconta*") and further in view of US Patent Pub. No. 2005/1006044 to Patterson ("*Patterson*"). Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Initially, Applicant and the undersigned thank the Examiner and his Supervisor for their time and consideration during the Interview. In the Interview, the undersigned and the Examiner discussed various amendments in view of prior art cited in the Office Action and Examiner's comments in the Advisory Action. Applicant believes this amendment to be in accordance with the amendments discussed during the Interview.

Specifically, newly presented independent claim 19 recites displaying "the framework and the overlay on an electronic display such that the overlay is displayed in a transparent or semi-transparent layer over the framework, and such that the one or more predefined secondary data fields of the overlay are displayed over the one or more corresponding primary information fields contained in the framework". In addition, claim 19 also recites receiving "information entered by the user into the one or more predefined secondary data fields of the overlay." Newly presented claims 18 and 20 include similar, if not identical limitations.

None of the art cited in the Office Action teaches or suggests at least the limitations quoted above. Thus, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 18, 19, and 20 are distinguishable over the prior art of record and should be allowed.

As claims 4-7, 9-11, 17, and 21 respectively depend from independent claims 18, 19, or 20 respectively, for at least

the foregoing reasons, Applicant respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw the rejections of these claims as well. Notwithstanding the designation of such claims as being allowable based on the perceived allowability of their respective base claims, Applicant believes that such claims include additional patentable subject matter. Applicant's failure to separately argue patentability based on such subject matter shall not be construed as an admission to the contrary.

In view of the above, each of the presently pending claims in this application is believed to in a condition for allowance. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw the outstanding rejection of the claims and to pass this application to issue. If, however, for any reason the Examiner does not believe that such action can be taken at this time, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner telephone applicant's attorney at (908) 654-5000 in order to overcome any additional objections which the Examiner might have.

If there are any additional charges in connection with this requested amendment, the Examiner is authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 12-1095 therefor.

Dated: July 19, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

By N. A. Desai
Niraj A. Desai
Registration No.: 64,934
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK, LLP
600 South Avenue West
Westfield, New Jersey 07090
(908) 654-5000
Attorney for Applicant

1107375_1.doc